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The fifth edition of this book aims to continue to provide those involved in handling shipping disputes with an overview of the key issues relevant to multiple jurisdictions. We have again invited contributions on the law of leading maritime nations, including both major flag states and the countries in which most shipping companies are located. We also include chapters on the law of the major shipbuilding centres and a range of other jurisdictions.

As with the previous four editions, we begin with cross-jurisdictional chapters looking at the latest developments in important areas for the shipping industry: competition and regulatory law, sanctions, ocean logistics, piracy, shipbuilding, ports and terminals, marine insurance and environmental issues. We once again feature offshore shipping and look at the key changes in the revised SUPPLYTIME 2017 form, published since our fourth edition.

Each jurisdictional chapter gives an overview of the procedures for handling shipping disputes, including arbitration, court litigation and any alternative dispute resolution mechanisms. Jurisdiction, enforcement and limitation periods are all covered. Contributors have summarised the key provisions of local law in relation to shipbuilding contracts, contracts of carriage and cargo claims. We have also asked the authors to address limitation of liability, including which parties can limit, which claims are subject to limitation and the circumstances in which the limits can be broken. Ship arrest procedure, which ships may be arrested, security or counter-security requirements and the potential for wrongful arrest claims are also included.

The authors review the vessel safety regimes in force in their country, along with port state control and the operation of both registration and classification locally. The applicable environmental legislation in each jurisdiction is explained, as are the local rules in respect of collisions, wreck removal, salvage and recycling. Passenger and seafarer rights are examined, and contributors set out the current position in their jurisdiction. The authors have then looked ahead and commented on what they believe are likely to be the most important developments in their jurisdiction during the coming year.

The shipping industry continues to be one of the most significant sectors worldwide, with the United Nations estimating that commercial shipping represents around US$380 billion in terms of global freight rates, amounting to about 5 per cent of global trade overall. More than 90 per cent of the world’s freight is still transported by sea. The law of shipping remains as interesting as the sector itself and the contributions to this book continue to reflect that.

The maritime sector has been taking stock after experiencing a bumpy ride during the past few years and, while the industry is looking forward to continued recovery, there is still uncertainty about the effects of trade tariffs and additional regulation. Under the current US administration, the sanctions picture has become ever more complex and uncertain.
Environmental regulation continues to be a hot topic in shipping and the maritime industry has made headlines during the past year by making its first major commitment to cut emissions. The shipping sector has signed up to reduce air emissions by an impressive 50 per cent by the year 2050 as compared with 2008 emissions levels. This, and the stricter sulphur limit of 0.5 per cent m/m coming in from 2020, is generating increased interest in alternative fuels, alternative propulsion and green vessel technologies.

The United Kingdom’s projected exit from the European Union is another key development. The UK is currently expected to leave the EU in 2019 but it is now likely that there will be transitional arrangements for withdrawal lasting until 2020. Some concerns have been expressed about the effects of Brexit on enforcement of maritime contracts. However, we expect the bulk of shipping contracts globally to continue to be governed by English law and that Brexit will not significantly affect enforceability. The vast majority of shipping contracts call for disputes to be resolved by London arbitration and London arbitration awards will continue to be enforceable internationally (both within and outside the European Union) under the New York Convention, as they are today. It is anticipated that reciprocal EU–UK enforcement of court judgments may also be agreed.

We would like to thank all the contributors for their assistance in producing this edition of *The Shipping Law Review*. We hope this volume will continue to provide a useful source of information for those in the industry handling cross-jurisdictional shipping disputes.

**George Eddings, Andrew Chamberlain and Rebecca Warder**
HFW
London
May 2018
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MALTA

Jean-Pie Gauci-Maistre, Despoina Xynou and Nielsen L Avila Rovelo

I COMMERCIAL OVERVIEW OF THE SHIPPING INDUSTRY

The Republic of Malta is a small, strategically located island country whose maritime industry has long provided great value to its economy. Malta is regarded as a strong and safe maritime jurisdiction; in fact, it has consolidated its position as the largest European maritime flag and, as at 2014, the sixth largest in the world, since when registered numbers have continued to increase every year. Besides offering a stable legal and fiscal regime, Malta’s ship registry has remained competitive and has constantly proven itself ready to accommodate shipowners and shipping companies, always within the parameters of international rules and conventions. According to UNCTAD’s Review of Maritime Transport for 2017, Malta retained its position as the sixth largest flag worldwide with a registered dead-weight tonnage of 99,216,495 constituting a share of world total dead-weight tonnage of 5.33 per cent. The successful enactment of the Commercial Yacht Code makes Malta a leading commercial yacht registry, and has led to the country becoming the flag choice for the global luxury superyacht industry.

The island’s strategic location, midway between Europe, the Middle East and North Africa, has established Malta as the third-largest transhipment port and logistics centre in the Mediterranean region, mainly for container cargo.

II GENERAL OVERVIEW OF THE LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORK

Malta’s legal system is a hybrid, mainly based on Roman law but influenced to a great extent by British law, as a result of Malta having been a British colony. The European Union Treaty was transposed into Maltese law following Malta’s accession to the European Union in 2004 and is now the law of the country. The principal legislation for shipping in the Republic of Malta is the Merchant Shipping Act of 1973, a law based on English shipping laws, as amended today, and supplemented by a comprehensive set of rules and regulations. Several international conventions to which Malta is either a signatory or that have been incorporated into Maltese law, as well as EU Regulations and Directives, also regulate the shipping industry.

1 Jean-Pie Gauci-Maistre and Despoina Xynou are partners and Nielsen L Avila Rovelo is an associate at Gauci-Maistre Xynou.
III FORUM AND JURISDICTION

i Courts

It is the Civil Court that hears maritime cases in Malta. Disputes with a value of more than €11,646.86 are heard by the First Hall of the Civil Court and smaller claims are heard by the court of magistrates. Although the Civil Court is the competent court to hear a vast range of cases, individual judges are normally assigned cases of a similar nature to increase court efficiency.

Ship arrest procedures are swift and relatively inexpensive. When coupled with the judicial sale by auction procedure or a court-approved private sale, Malta offers a veritable solution for an executing creditor seeking recourse to court.

ii Arbitration and ADR

Arbitration proceedings are regulated by the Arbitration Act,2 which incorporates a number of international conventions, such as the UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration. Arbitration in Malta falls under the auspices of the Malta Arbitration Centre.

Maltese law only contemplates mediation as a method of alternative dispute resolution (ADR), which is regulated by the Mediation Act.3 The law allows for disputes involving civil, family, social, commercial or industrial matters to be referred for mediation.

iii Enforcement of foreign judgments and arbitral awards

In accordance with Regulation (EU) No. 1215/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2012 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgment in civil and commercial matters (recast), a judgment given in an EU Member State shall be recognised in Malta without any special procedure being required. With respect to judgments constituting a res judicata, given by any other competent court outside Malta and the European Union, these may be enforced in the same manner as judgments are delivered, upon an application containing a demand that the enforcement of the judgment be ordered.

With respect to the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards in Malta, the Second Schedule of the Arbitration Act4 incorporates into Maltese law the Protocol on Arbitration Clauses (Geneva 1923), the Convention on the Execution of Foreign Arbitral Awards (Geneva 1927) and the Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards (New York 1958). Therefore, a foreign arbitral award, by means of registration through the Malta Arbitration Centre, may be recognised and subsequently enforced as an executive title by the courts of Malta in the same manner as if the awards were delivered in domestic arbitration. An executive title would then be of relevance with respect to executive warrants of arrest (see Section V.i).

---

2 Chapter 382 of the Laws of Malta.
3 Chapter 474 of the Laws of Malta.
4 Chapter 387 of the Laws of Malta.
IV  SHIPPING CONTRACTS

i  Shipbuilding
Valletta’s Grand Harbour is considered to be the deepest natural harbour in the Mediterranean, which has further increased Malta’s maritime importance throughout history. Historically, the dry docks were mainly used for repair and construction of civilian ships but naturally this changed during both world wars, given Malta’s role and strategic location.

More recently, a process of privatisation of Malta Shipyards Ltd took place after Malta became an EU Member State, as subsidies to the shipyard had to stop. Nowadays, there are no state-owned shipyards and only a handful of privately owned yards, the largest being Palumbo Malta Shipyards Ltd, all regulated by international and domestic law.

ii  Contracts of carriage
Malta’s economy is dependent on foreign trade, serving as a freight transshipment hub. Being an importing country, it is essential that Malta is compliant with international regulations and standards. In this respect, although Malta is not a signatory to the Hague-Visby Rules, the Hamburg Rules or the Rotterdam Rules, it is a party to the Hague Convention, and the Hague Rules are applied by the Maltese courts with regard to marine cargo claims by virtue of the Carriage of Goods by Sea Act, 1954.5 The Maltese courts also apply the Hague-Visby Rules when they are incorporated into a bill of lading that is the subject of a dispute.

Carriage is considered an act of trade as per the Commercial Code6 and therefore any dispute that may arise in this respect shall be subject to the jurisdiction of the Civil Court of Malta in accordance with the provisions contained in the Code of Organisation and Civil Procedure,7 which also caters for contracts of affreightment. The Merchant Shipping Act regulates the carriage of goods as a shipping activity.8

The United Nations Convention on International Multimodal Transport of Goods (Geneva 1980) is not in force and as such multimodal transport is not regulated in Malta.

iii  Cargo claims
Neither the carrier nor the ship shall be liable for loss or damage arising or resulting from unseaworthiness unless caused by want of due diligence on the part of the carrier to make the ship seaworthy and to ensure that the ship is properly manned, equipped and supplied.9 In addition, Article IV(2)(q) of the Carriage of Goods by Sea Act states that neither the carrier nor the ship shall be responsible for loss or damage arising or resulting from any other cause arising without the actual fault or privity of the carrier, or without the fault or neglect of the agents or servants of the carrier. The burden of proof is on the person claiming the benefit of this exception to show that neither the actual fault or privity of the carrier nor the fault or neglect of the agents or servants of the carrier contributed to the loss or damage.

5 Chapter 140 of the Laws of Malta.
6 Chapter 13 of the Laws of Malta.
7 Chapter 12 of the Law of Malta.
8 Chapter 234 of the Laws of Malta.
9 Article IV, Schedule 1, Carriage of Goods by Sea Act 1954 (Chapter 140 of the Laws of Malta).
Under the Merchant Shipping Act, an agent is to be considered mandatory for the carrier and cannot be found liable for any liability of the carrier.\textsuperscript{10}

The Maltese courts have, on one occasion,\textsuperscript{11} stated that the case of \textit{The Starsin}\textsuperscript{12} is substantially compatible with the system of Maltese mercantile law.

\textbf{iv Limitation of liability}

The regime for limitation of liability for maritime claims applicable in Malta is the Convention on Limitation of Liability for Maritime Claims 1976 as amended by the 1996 Protocol, which was ratified by Malta on 13 February 2004 by virtue of an instrument of accession. On 8 June 2015, the IMO announced new limits to enter into force, in accordance with the tacit acceptance procedure, raising the amount claimable for loss of life or personal injury on ships (not exceeding 2,000 gross tonnage) to 3.02 million special drawing rights (SDRs), up from 2 million SDRs (additional amounts are claimable on larger ships).\textsuperscript{13} For the purposes of Article 11 of the LLMC Convention, the fund referred to therein shall be constituted with the First Hall of the Civil Court and the rate of interest to be applied shall be 8 per cent.\textsuperscript{14}

\textbf{V REMEDIES}

\textbf{i Ship arrest}

According to the Merchant Shipping Act, ships and other vessels constitute a particular class of moveables whereby they form separate and distinct assets within the estate of their owners for the security of actions and claims to which the vessel is subject. The Code of Organisation and Civil Procedure (COCP) provides for the arrest of vessels in Malta both as security of maritime \textit{in rem}\textsuperscript{15} claims (where the ship concerned is within the territorial jurisdiction of the Maltese courts) and as security of \textit{in personam}\textsuperscript{16} claims in cases where the owner is subject to the jurisdiction of the Maltese courts. The legislation provides for an exhaustive list of maritime claims for which the courts shall have jurisdiction \textit{in rem}. Ships can only be arrested in Malta in virtue of a warrant of arrest. A precautionary warrant of arrest may be issued against any seagoing vessel (subject to certain requirements) to secure a claim that has not yet been decided, and an executive warrant of arrest is to be issued when enforcing a judgment. Vessels may also be arrested pursuant to the provisions of Article 31 of Council Regulation (EC) No. 44/2001, dealing with protective measures, in cases where the courts of another Member State have jurisdiction as to the substance of the matter. The arrest of sister ships is possible but only in cases concerning specific maritime claims as listed in the relevant legislation.

A ship within Maltese territorial waters can be arrested irrespective of her flag so long as the requirements prescribed by law are met, which are that the ship has a length exceeding 10 metres and that the claim is not less than €7,000. The warrant of arrest is filed \textit{ex parte} by

\textsuperscript{10} Chapter 234 of the Laws of Malta.
\textsuperscript{11} Advocate Dr Philip Manduca (as special mandatory) v. Sun Maritime Limited decided on 26 June 2009 [Appeal Civil Number 212/1999/1].
\textsuperscript{12} Homburg Houtimport BV v. Argoin Pot Ltd & Ors (The Starsin) [2003] 1 Lloyd’s Rep 571 (HL).
\textsuperscript{13} www.imo.org/en/MediaCentre/PressBriefings/Pages/24-llmc-limits.aspx.
\textsuperscript{14} Laws of Malta, Subsidiary Legislation 234.16.
\textsuperscript{15} Article 742B of COCP.
\textsuperscript{16} Article 742 of COCP.
the arresting party by submitting the appropriate forms requesting the arrest of the vessel and indicating the place where the vessel is to be found: note that it is not permissible to apply for a warrant of arrest unless the vessel is inside Maltese territorial waters. Transport Malta is deemed by law to be the authority with the power and control to have a ship arrested as soon as it enters Maltese territorial waters.

Once a vessel is arrested, the arrestor must commence an action on the merits, or arbitration proceedings in respect of the claim stated in the warrant, within 20 days of the date of issue of the warrant of arrest. In default, the effects of the warrant cease and the arresting party may be liable for damages. A warrant of arrest may be lifted by means of a counter-warrant of arrest issued by the court on the grounds of repayment of the claim or the setting up of a security by means of a guarantee, *inter alia*. Cash deposits, Maltese bank guarantees or upon agreement between the parties a guarantee put up by a P&I club can be placed for the release of the arrest. A penalty of not less than €11,600 is applicable in the event where it is found that the warrant was obtained upon a demand maliciously made.

Moreover, the owner whose vessel was arrested may apply to the court requesting that the arresting party give, upon good cause and within a time fixed by the court, a counter-security sufficient for the payment of the penalty that may be imposed, and of damages and interest, and, if in default, to rescind the warrant.

### ii Court orders for sale of a vessel

A court judgment and a mortgage are executive titles and therefore the holder of such a title may proceed directly to enforce its claims.

#### Judicial sale by auction

The holder of an executive title could file an application in court requesting the court to order the judicial sale of the vessel and appoint a public auctioneer. The court registry is bound to publish the list of judicial sales by auction that are to be held. The auction is conducted in the presence of the Registrar of Courts and the purchaser shall be the highest bidder. The public auctioneer may request that a person submitting an offer should be in possession of the necessary guarantees. The auctioneer shall cause that no bid shall be accepted if it is either made *pro persona nominanda* or by any person who is notoriously incapable of fulfilling the obligations arising out of the adjudication. The purchaser shall pay the price into court within seven days of the final adjudication. Any creditor having a judgment or other executive title in its favour may bid *animo compensandi*, that is, in set-off of the debt it is owed. In such cases, the creditor by means of an application should request the approval of the court for proposed set-off, and it shall pay into court the surplus of the price where the price exceeds the amount of the debt and costs. When a ship is sold in a judicial sale by auction, the vessel is sold free and unencumbered.

#### Court-approved private sale

The court-approved private sale offers creditors of an indebted vessel a simple remedial mechanism, by which a request is made to the Superior Courts of Malta to approve the private sale of a vessel. This amendment was introduced to address the disadvantages of private sales and judicial sales by auction.

By means of such an application and subject to certain procedures being followed, in case of default, a holder of an executive title may sell the vessel to a private buyer, thereby being able to agree on a reasonable price (as would be the case in a private sale) but also allowing...
the buyer to benefit from purchasing the vessel free and unencumbered (as in a judicial sale by auction). The agreed price should be equal to, or higher than, two previously obtained valuations of the vessel, carried out by independent and reputable valuers. An application is filed in court, exhibiting copies of the memorandum of agreement and the valuations obtained, and requesting the court to approve the private sale and to appoint a person who can transfer the vessel by means of a bill of sale to the new buyer for the agreed price. The court shall appoint the application for hearing within 10 days of its filing. If approved, the vessel would be sold free and unencumbered.

Despite this piece of legislation being enacted in 2006, the Courts of Malta were only asked to rule upon them for the first time in December 2011. The sale of the MV *Thor Spirit* in December 2011 paved the way for many similar cases. The procedure has been extensively used in the past few years and the more contentious private sales are being more uniformly handled by the Courts of Malta.

**VI REGULATION**

### i Safety

The Merchant Shipping Directorate (MSD) and Armed Forces of Malta (AFM) are the responsible institutions for maritime safety in Malta. The MSD is responsible for ensuring that Malta implements and accedes to the key international conventions regarding maritime safety, and the AFM implements the SAR Convention in addition to the Global Maritime Distress and Safety System (GMDSS) used to increase safety at sea and facilitate the rescue of distressed ships, boats and aircraft.

Malta is a party to the following maritime safety and security conventions: SOLAS (as amended), the COLREGs (as amended), the FAL Convention, the Load Lines Convention, the Search and Rescue Convention, the SUA, Safety of Fishing Vessels and the STCW Convention (as amended). These maritime safety regulations are transposed into domestic law through the Merchant Shipping (Safety Convention) Rules (SL 234.30), the Merchant Shipping (Safe Manning and Watchkeeping) (SL 234.31), the Merchant Shipping (Safety Convention Rules) (SL 234.33), the Merchant Shipping (Safe Operation of Regular Ro-Ro Ferry and High-Speed Passenger Craft Services) Regulations (SL 234.35), the Merchant Shipping (Ship Inspection and Survey Organisations) Regulations (SL 234.37), the Merchant

---

17 *Danske Bank A/S v. MV Thor Spirit* (1 December 2011); *Joint Stock Company Rietumu Banka v. MV Blankenese* (22 August 2013), in which the court held that there is nothing in the Maltese Companies Act that prohibits a shareholder from acquiring property of a company in which he or she is a shareholder, and makes a distinction between the physical individual shareholder and a duly incorporated company which is separate and distinct from its members. It specifically stated that parties alleging bad faith on the part of the buyer must prove bad faith before the court and it emphasised that the price being paid by the buyer was as good as or superior to the valuations produced by the mortgagee, so the court was convinced that the valuations were reasonable and true. Given the fact that the price offered exceeded the valuations presented, the court discarded the objections raised by the two claimants; *Amsterdam Trade Bank NV v. MT Pacific* (28 November 2013); *Hyundai Heavy Industries Co. Ltd and Hyundai Samho Heavy Industries Co. Ltd v. MV B Ladybug* (8 April 2014), in which the court made it clear that any creditor who decides to make use of this mechanism is not abusing the law, since the private sale of vessels is a legitimate remedy according to Maltese law as long as creditors who decide to apply for the approval of a private sale of a vessel do not abuse this mechanism or use it frivolously to the detriment of other creditors or the debtor himself; *Malta Towage Limited v. MV Irmak* (25 August 2014); *Pacific Seaways Shipbuilding Inc. v. MV Kay* (18 February 2016).
Shipping (Accident and Incident Safety Investigation) Regulations (SL 234.49), the Merchant Shipping (Fishing Vessels) (Minimum Health and Safety Requirements) Regulations, the Merchant Shipping (Fishing Vessel Safety) Rules and the Merchant Shipping (Certification of Commercial Yachts and Commercial Cruising Vessels) Regulation.

The Merchant Shipping (Accident and Incident Safety Investigation) Regulations established the Marine Safety Investigation Unit (MSIU) as an independent government investigation unit to carry out safety investigations into accidents and incidents and participate in safety studies and academic research.18

ii Port state control

Through the Merchant Shipping Directorate, Malta ensures that any ship entitled to fly its flag complies with the applicable international rules and standards. As part of the effective enforcement of those rules, standards, laws and regulations, Malta adopted the Memorandum of Understanding on Port State Control in the Mediterranean Region (Mediterranean MOU) on 11 July 1997 (which entered into force on 24 February 1998), with other maritime authorities and the assistance of, inter alia, the IMO, the International Labour Organization and the European Commission. Moreover, Malta has an active participation in the Paris MOU and Transport Malta has been a member since 2006.

As the provisions of the Paris MOU were incorporated into EU law through Directive 2009/16/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on port State control, Malta transposed them into domestic law through the Merchant Shipping (Port State Control) Regulations (SL 234.38), which apply to any ship and her crew calling at a port in Malta or anchored off such a port to engage in a ship-port operation. Furthermore, the Merchant Shipping (Flag State Requirements) Regulations adopt the measures contained in Directive 2009/21/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on compliance with flag State requirements. Both regulate inspections and detention procedures.

iii Registration and classification

Registration

Maltese legislation offers great advantages and benefits to those who choose to register their vessels under the Malta maritime flag. Malta retains its place on the Paris MOU ‘White List’, in line with its policy not to register ships with a poor detention, safety or marine pollution record, and ranks among the flags meeting the Paris MOU criteria for low-risk ships.19 Ship registration and the provision of all ancillary services is the responsibility of the Merchant Shipping Directorate, which is also responsible for the regulation, control and administration of all matters related to merchant shipping, the certification of seafarers and the administration and implementation of international maritime conventions and agreements.20 The registry’s services are offered around the clock, to accommodate any urgent matter.

Maltese legislation provides for the registration of vessels used for navigation in international waters under the provisions of the Merchant Shipping Act, and the registration of ships under 24 metres in length and employed solely in navigation within the territorial waters of Malta, whether privately or commercially, under the provisions of the Small Ships

19 Published on the flag performance list of the Paris MOU.
Malta

Regulations. Registration of fishing vessels used in the territorial waters of Malta is provided for under the provisions of the Fisheries Conservation and Management Act. Commercial yachts, that is, yachts in commercial use and that do not carry more than 12 passengers, can be registered in Malta subject to the conditions of the Commercial Yacht Code. All types of vessels, from pleasure yachts to oil rigs, may be registered, provided that all requirements set out in the applicable legislation are met. Maltese law also provides for the registration of vessels under construction.

As for ownership and eligibility for registration, the Merchant Shipping Act provides that vessels owned by either citizens of Malta or bodies corporate established under the laws of Malta, or European citizens or foreign corporate bodies or entities (‘international owner’) having a Maltese resident agent, are eligible for registration under the Malta flag. An international owner shall be deemed to have submitted to the jurisdiction of the Maltese courts through the resident agent. There are no nationality restrictions for masters, officers and crew of Malta-registered vessels and no trading restrictions. A vessel is first registered provisionally under the Malta flag for six months, during which time all documentation needs to be finalised.

Maltese law allows for both bareboat charter registration of foreign ships under the Malta flag (bareboat charter in registration) and for bareboat charter registration of Maltese ships under a foreign flag (bareboat charter-out registration), as long as all the requirements prescribed in the legislation are satisfied and the consent of the registrar is provided. Moreover, Malta caters for a certificate of registry to be issued in the name of the charterer or the lessee when a ship registered under the Malta flag is being operated under charter or is leased, and that ship is not bareboat charter registered in a foreign registry.

At the request of the owner, the registry of a Maltese ship may close, followed by the issuance of a deletion certificate, provided, inter alia, that the consent of the registered mortgagees is evidenced and all liabilities and obligations of the ship towards the Republic of Malta have been fulfilled.

Malta has also become renowned for the protection it offers to financiers. The mortgagee has the right to take possession and sell the vessel secured by the mortgage when the debtor is in default and is also empowered to maintain the status and validity of the registration of the ship, thereby safeguarding its ability to operate the ship commercially pending a sale procedure. Under Maltese law, a mortgage is an executive title and can be enforced upon default without the need for a special judgment. Mortgages enjoy a relatively high ranking among maritime claims, which is of great importance if the mortgage is actually enforced through a judicial sale or a court-approved private sale and the proceeds from the sale of the vessel are not sufficient for all creditors.

Classification

A merchant vessel at the time it is being registered as a Maltese ship and during the period of its registration under the Malta flag must be classed with a classification society authorised to issue statutory certificates on behalf of the government. The criteria in accordance with which organisations or bodies of surveyors may be authorised under the Merchant Shipping Act are prescribed in the Ship Inspection and Survey Organisations Regulations.21

21 Subsidiary legislation 234.37.
The following classification societies are recognised and approved by the government:

- the American Bureau of Shipping;
- Bureau Veritas;
- the China Classification Society;
- the Croatian Register of Shipping;
- Class NK (Nippon Kaiji Kyokai);
- DNV GL;
- the Indian Register of Shipping;
- the Korean Register of Shipping;
- Lloyd’s Register;
- the Polish Register of Shipping;
- Registro Italiano Navale (RINA); and
- the Russian Maritime Register of Shipping.

iv Environmental regulation

Malta is a Member State of the European Safety Agency, the European Seaports Organisation and the Regional Marine Pollution Emergency Response Centre for the Mediterranean Sea. In assistance with those regional authorities and, *inter alia*, the IMO, Malta enforces international, regional and EU instruments, which have been either ratified or directly form part of domestic legislation. Malta is party to the major conventions regulating sea and air pollution.

As a signatory of MARPOL (as amended), its Annexes have been transposed into domestic legislation through the Merchant Shipping (Prevention of Pollution of Ships) Regulations (SL 34.32), the Merchant Shipping (Prevention of Pollution by Garbage) Regulations (SL 234.33), the Merchant Shipping (Prevention of Pollution by Sewage) Regulations (SL 34.47) and the Merchant Shipping (Wreck Removal Convention) Regulations (SL 234.53). The Bunkers’ Convention has been transposed into domestic law through the Merchant Shipping (Liability for Bunker Oil Pollution Damage) Regulations (SL 234.46).

Additionally, in response to grave concern about the spread of invasive species due to carriage of ballast waters from ships, Malta acceded, on 7 September 2017, to the International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships’ Ballast Water and Sediments, which entered into force on 8 September 2017. The Convention came into force in Malta on 7 December 2017 when it was transposed into domestic legislation through the Merchant Shipping (Ballast Water Management Convention) Regulations (SL 234.55).

Moreover, to further establish a system of penalties for failure to comply with the monitoring and reporting obligations, Regulation (EU) 2015/757 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2015, and amending Directive 2009/16/EC, was transposed into domestic legislation through the Merchant Shipping (Monitoring, Reporting and Verification of Carbon Dioxide Emissions from Maritime Transport) Regulations (SL 234.54).

Furthermore, Malta is a signatory to the UNEP Convention on Biological Diversity, the Convention for Protection of the Mediterranean Sea against Pollution (Barcelona Convention) and its 2002 and 2004 Protocols, the Convention on the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter (LC), the International Convention on the Control of Harmful Anti-Fouling Systems on Ships, the International Convention on Oil Pollution Preparedness, Response and Co-operation (OPRC) and the OPRC-HNS Protocol.
Collisions, salvage and wrecks

Collisions

Malta has adopted the COLREGs 1972, which are regulated in the Commercial Code (Chapter 13), the Merchant Shipping Act (Chapter 234) and in the Prevention of Collisions Regulations (SL 234.20).

The Commercial Code has sections dealing with the rights and obligations of the insurer and of the assured, specifying the risks borne by the insurer, and with the prescription and inadmissibility of action for damages occasioned by the collision of vessels when a collision happens in a place in which the master could institute proceedings, unless the master has made a protest.22

The Prevention of Collisions Regulations are regulated under the Merchant Shipping Act.23 Any proceeds from any indemnity arising from a collision are secured by a special privilege upon the vessel. In the case of a mortgaged vessel, the same will attach to any proceeds from any indemnity arising from such collisions.

Salvage

Malta is not a signatory to the Salvage Convention; however, the law of salvage is found in the Commercial Code and the Merchant Shipping Act.

The Commercial Code establishes that any damage caused to a vessel or to cargo, or to both, and the salvage payable for extraordinary services to avoid loss or capture in cases of imminent peril, is to be considered as general average, as per the provisions of Title IV of the Code.24

Under the Merchant Shipping Act, salvage includes all expenses properly incurred by the salvor in the performance of salvage services.25 Salvage in respect of preservation of life, when payable by the owners of the vessel, shall be payable as a priority over all other claims for salvage.26 Where the vessel, cargo and apparel are destroyed or the value thereof is insufficient, after payment of the actual expenses incurred, to pay the amount of salvage payable in respect of the preservation of life, the Minister may, at his or her discretion, award to the salvor, out of the Consolidated Fund, such sum as he or she thinks fit in whole or in part satisfaction of any amount of salvage so left unpaid.27

Moreover, under the principle of ‘no cure no pay’, the liability of the owner to pay salvage shall extend to persons having an interest that has been saved by the property being brought into a position of security.28

If any dispute arises as to apportionment of any amount of salvage among the owners, master, pilot, crew and other persons in the service of any foreign vessel, the amount shall be apportioned in accordance with the law of the country to which the vessel belongs.29

---

22 Article 545, Commercial Code (Chapter 13 of the Laws of Malta).
23 Part V, Section 5, Merchant Shipping Act (Chapter 234 Laws of Malta).
24 Article 444(h), Commercial Code (Chapter 13 Laws of Malta).
25 Article 330, Merchant Shipping Act (Chapter 234 Laws of Malta).
26 Article 342, Merchant Shipping Act (Chapter 234 Laws of Malta).
27 Ibid.
28 Article 344, Merchant Shipping Act (Chapter 234 Laws of Malta).
29 Article 345(3), Merchant Shipping Act (Chapter 234 Laws of Malta).
Wrecks
Malta ratified the Nairobi International Convention on the Removal of Wrecks 2007 (Nairobi Convention) on 18 January 2015. The Nairobi Convention is transposed into Maltese legislation through the Merchant Shipping (Wreck Removal Convention) Regulations (SL 234.53), which is applied to all Maltese ships wherever they may be and to all other ships while in Maltese waters regardless of flag.\(^30\) A section on wrecks is also found in the Commercial Code and the Merchant Shipping Act.

The applicability of the Nairobi Convention in Malta, as per the Regulations, means an area extending to 25 nautical miles from the baselines from which the territorial waters are measured in accordance with the Territorial Waters and Contiguous Zone Act.\(^31\) With respect to certification, Transport Malta (TM) is the authority that issues the wreck removal certificates in terms of the Nairobi Convention, which must be placed on board the vessel.

If a vessel has sunk, is stranded or is abandoned on or near the coasts within the territorial jurisdiction of Malta, the Minister responsible for shipping\(^32\) is granted the power not only to remove the vessel but also to destroy it, whether in whole or in part.\(^33\)

Ship recycling
Malta has not yet ratified the Hong Kong Convention; however, in order to establish a framework to protect human health and the environment against the adverse effects of hazardous wastes and their disposal, Malta ratified the Basel Convention in June 2000. The obligations as found in this Convention are in force by means of the Environment Protection (control of Transboundary Movement of Toxic and other Substances) Regulations 2000. The Environment and Resources Authority is the competent authority under these regulations.

vi Passengers’ rights
Malta has ratified the Athens Convention on the Carriage of Passengers and their Luggage by Sea (PAL Convention). The Convention establishes a regime of liability for damage suffered by passengers carried on a seagoing vessel. Passengers’ claims are regulated by the Merchant Shipping (Carriage of Passengers by Sea) Regulations\(^34\) and give effect to the Athens Convention. In accordance with the Regulations, the Registrar General of Shipping and Seamen should issue a certificate attesting that insurance cover or another financial security is in force in respect of ships registered under the Malta flag and of any other ship entering or leaving Maltese ports. Malta is also bound by Regulation (EC) No. 392/2009 on the liability of carriers of passengers in the event of loss or damage resulting from an accident, which entered into force on 31 December 2012. The Regulation incorporates certain provisions of the Athens Convention (as amended by the 2002 Protocol) and applies to all carriers involved in international carriage, including carriage between EU Member States, and certain types of domestic carriage. Regulation (EU) No. 1177/2010 concerning the rights of passengers when travelling by sea and inland waterways,\(^35\) which came into force on 18 December 2012, is also enforceable in Malta.

\(^30\) Regulation 1, Legal Notice 83 of 2015.
\(^31\) Regulation 2, Legal Notice 83 of 2015. See Chapter 226 from the Laws of Malta.
\(^32\) Article 339, Merchant Shipping Act (Chapter 234 Laws of Malta).
\(^33\) Ibid.
\(^34\) SL 234.52.
vii Seafarers’ rights

Malta ratified the Maritime Labour Convention (MLC) 2006 on 22 January 2013. The MLC, which entered into force on 20 August 2013, was transposed into domestic legislation through the Merchant Shipping (Maritime Labour Convention) Rules (SL 234.51). Part IV of the MSA regulates certification, the conditions for admission to employment, the form, period and conditions of agreements with crew, the conditions of service regulations, the discharge of seafarers, the repatriation of seafarers, rights of wages and payment of seafarers, and the power of the court to rescind a contract between owner or master and seafarer.

Malta has acceded to the STCW Convention (as amended), which was transposed into domestic legislation through the Merchant Shipping (Training and Certification) Regulations (SL 234.17). In terms of these Regulations, officers and seafarers are subject to certification issued by the Registrar General of Shipping and Seamen, who is responsible for certification of seafarers. Certificates of competence are issued after the successful completion of approved training courses and examinations held in Malta. In addition, Malta has entered into bilateral agreements with foreign maritime administrations for the recognition of certificates of competence issued to seafarers.36

With regard to detention measures in respect of ships, if the ship is not in compliance with the provisions of the Merchant Shipping Act, Merchant Shipping (Maritime Labour Convention) Rules and applicable requirements of the STCW Convention, the Registrar General of Shipping and Seamen shall take necessary measures to ensure that the ship shall not sail until it can proceed to sea ‘without presenting an unreasonable threat of harm to the working and living conditions of seafarers and any expenses incurred therefor shall be a charge on the ship, so however that the ship shall not be unduly detained or delayed’.37

VII OUTLOOK

Without a doubt, the issue that has dominated the headlines in Malta’s maritime industry has been the approval by the EU Commission of the Malta tonnage tax system for a period of 10 years under the EU State Aid Rules. Under the Maltese system, a shipping company is subject to tonnage tax calculated on the basis of the net tonnage of the ship as an alternative to charging corporation tax. Tonnage tax is applied to a shipping company’s core revenues derived from shipping activities, such as international carriage of goods and passengers, certain ancillary revenues that are closely connected to shipping activities (which are, however, capped at a maximum of 50 per cent of a ship’s operating revenues), and revenues from towage and dredging subject to certain conditions. Maltese legislation also caters for ship management companies that may benefit from the tonnage tax system, subject to the conditions set in the legislation being met. The amendments in the legislation came into force on 1 May 2018. Moreover, Malta under its domestic legislation has an exemption for domestic source income earned by non-resident shipping owners on a reciprocal basis.

37 Article 129(1) Merchant Shipping (Maritime Labour Convention) Rules.
Securitisation is one of the most rapidly growing financing tools within the shipping industry, being used as a primary source of raising finance. Securitisation transactions are undertaken through special-purpose vehicles, which are entities that are set up for the sole purpose of issuing securities to fund the acquisition of particular assets. Malta also offers a network of double tax treaties with approximately 75 jurisdictions.

Malta has also established its position as a ship finance leasing jurisdiction offering various incentives to the parties involved. The legislation was amended and a certificate of registry in the name of the charterer or lessee might be issued. Maltese law provides that the letting of ships shall be regulated by the provisions of any agreement between the lessor and the lessee. The termination of the lease shall be regulated by the agreement between the lessor and lessee. Any notice of termination that may be required to be given under the agreement may be given by notice in writing in any manner, including by electronic means. The lease of a ship or rights thereunder, shall be immediately dissolved or terminated by the lessor (or mortgagee who shall be deemed to have such power unless expressly waived) at any time in the event of a default and upon notice in writing to the lessee (in the manner referred to above), notwithstanding any opposition by the lessee, and this without the need of any authorisation or confirmation by any court that an event of default has taken place. In such circumstances the lessor may, after notice to the lessee, take possession of the ship in accordance with the agreement between the parties and may ask the court in Malta for an order authorising or directing these acts, and the court shall render full support to the lessor or the mortgagee as expeditiously as possible.38

It is also worth mentioning that any financial institution, whether established or operating in Malta, carrying out the activity of financial leasing and all related transactions, should not require a licence from the competent authority for the purposes of exercising its activities in Malta under the Financial Institutions Act.

---

38 See Article 1526, Civil Code (Chapter 16 Laws of Malta).
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